

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,137	09/18/2006	Hikaru Okubo	033036.110	6494	
41 7590 07/13/2011 SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL 1130 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 1130			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			FINK, BRIEANN R		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1763	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/13/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/593,137	OKUBO ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BRIEANN R. FINK	1763				

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706,07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL

- 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
- 3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
 - (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below):
 - (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
 - NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFB 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s)
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) x will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 - The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 - Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none.

b)

- Claim(s) rejected: 23-25.
- Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-13,15-22 and 26-41.
- AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. M The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s), 03/25/2011
- 13. Other:

/MILTON LCANO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1763

/BRIEANN R FINK/ Examiner, Art Unit 1763 Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection over claims 23-25 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st para and the rejection over claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forray in view of Sakurai.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection of claims 23-25 over 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herr in view of Sakurai is maintained.

The showing is not comparable with the examples of the instant specification, as the examples of the instant invention (E1-E3) comprise different amounts of silane and peroxide; therefore, it is not clear that the only compound responsible for the difference in "Reflow resistance" is that of the bismaleimide compound.

The closest prior art bismaleimide is that of Herr described as decane diol diester bismaleimide in Example C (col. 21-22). A proper showing comparing this bismaleimide to applicants' claimed bismaleimides would constitute a proper showing of unexpected results, assuming the claimed compounds result in better properties.

Therefore, applicants should carry at least out one of their examples E1-E3 substituting ONLY Compound 1 for the bismaleimide of Herr. All other components, the silver, Compound 2, initiator, and silane must be kept constant.